

Thomae type formula for $K3$ surfaces given by double covers of the projective plane branching along six lines

Keiji MATSUMOTO and Tomohide TERASOMA

February 3, 2010

Dedicated to Professor Takayuki Oda on his sixtieth birthday

Abstract

In this paper, we give Thomae type formula for $K3$ surfaces $\mathcal{X}$ given by double covers of the projective plane branching along six lines. This formula gives relations between theta constants on the bounded symmetric domain of type I_{22} and period integrals of $\mathcal{X}$. Moreover, we express the period integrals by using the hypergeometric function F_S of four variables. As applications of our main theorem, we define $\mathbb{R}^4$ -valued sequences by mean iterations of four terms, and express their common limits by the hypergeometric function F_S .

MSC2000: Primary 33C70; Secondary 11F55.

Keywords: Hypergeometric Functions, Theta Functions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Certain family of $K3$ surfaces	5
2.1	Double coverings of $\mathbb{P}^2$ branching along 6 lines	5
2.2	Relative invariants and a global two form	6
2.3	Topological cycles at a reference point	8
2.4	Period integrals and Hypergeometric functions	9
2.5	Preparation for the association involution	11

3	Bounded symmetric domains and theta functions.	13
3.1	Period map and symmetric domains D_H and $\mathbb{D}$	13
3.2	Homomorphisms of discrete groups	15
3.3	Monodromy actions on the spaces D_H and $\mathbb{D}$	16
3.4	Theta functions and their functional equations	18
4	Thomae type formula for K3 surfaces	21
4.1	Main Theorem	21
5	Mean iterations	22
5.1	Mean iteration associated to D_4 degeneration	22
5.2	Mean iteration associated to Kummer locus	27
6	Functional equations for F_S	29

1 Introduction

Let us consider period integrals

$$\omega_A(\lambda) = \int_1^{1/\lambda} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)(1-\lambda t)}}, \quad \omega_B(\lambda) = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)(1-\lambda t)}}, \quad (1)$$

of an elliptic curve $s^2 = t(1-t)(1-\lambda t)$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$. If λ belongs to the open interval $(0, 1)$, then they are expressed by the Gauss hypergeometric function $F(a, b, c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n$:

$$\omega_A(\lambda) = {}_1\pi F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 - \lambda\right), \quad \omega_B(\lambda) = \pi F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; \lambda\right),$$

where $1 = \sqrt{-1}$. The function $\tau = \omega_A(\lambda)/\omega_B(\lambda)$ of λ is continued to a map

$$per : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$$

from the universal covering $\tilde{X}$ of $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ to $\mathbb{H}$, which is called the period map. The inverse of the map per can be described as

$$\lambda = \vartheta_{[10]}^4(\tau)/\vartheta_{[00]}^4(\tau),$$

where

$$\vartheta_{[ab]}(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp[\pi i \{(n + \frac{a}{2})^2 \tau + (n + \frac{a}{2})b\}] \quad ([ab] = [00], [01], [10])$$

is Jacobi's theta constant. Under these correspondences of variables $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the theta constant and the elliptic integral are related as

$$\vartheta_{[ab]}^4(\tau) = \frac{\Lambda_{[ab]}}{\pi^2} \omega_B(\lambda)^2, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{[00]} = 1, \quad \Lambda_{[01]} = 1 - \lambda, \quad \Lambda_{[10]} = \lambda.$$

The identity (2) is called Jacobi's formula. On the other hand, we have the 2τ -formulas for the theta constants

$$\vartheta_{[00]}^2(2\tau) = \frac{\vartheta_{[00]}^2(\tau) + \vartheta_{[01]}^2(\tau)}{2}, \quad \vartheta_{[01]}^2(2\tau) = \vartheta_{[00]}(\tau)\vartheta_{[01]}(\tau).$$

These formulas are applied to the study of the arithmetic-geometric mean as follows. Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ be positive real numbers. We define vector valued sequence $\{m^n(c_1, c_2)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$m^n(c_1, c_2) = \overbrace{m \circ \cdots \circ m}^n(c_1, c_2)$$

where the map $m : (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2$ is

$$m(u_1, u_2) = \left(\frac{u_1 + u_2}{2}, \sqrt{u_1 u_2} \right).$$

Both components have a common limit and it is called the arithmetic-geometric mean and denoted by $m_*^\infty(c_1, c_2)$. Using Jacobi's formula and 2τ -formulas, we have a relation between the arithmetic-geometric mean and the hypergeometric function:

$$m_*^\infty(c_1, c_2) = \frac{c_1}{F(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 - (\frac{c_2}{c_1})^2)}.$$

By the above relation and the invariance property $m_*^\infty(m(c_1, c_2)) = m_*^\infty(c_1, c_2)$, we have the Gauss transformation formula

$$F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 - \frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right) = \frac{1+z}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 - z^2\right). \quad (3)$$

Thomae studies period integrals of a hyperelliptic curve of arbitrary genus and generalizes Jacobi's formula to Thomae's formulas in [To]. Based on 2τ -formulas of theta constants defined on the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_2$ of degree 2, Borchardt introduces a vector valued sequence $\{m^n(c_1, \dots, c_4)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with initial $(c_1, \dots, c_4) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4$ given by the iteration of the map

$$m : (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4 \ni u = (u_1, \dots, u_4) \mapsto (m_1(u), \dots, m_4(u)) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(u) &= \frac{u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4}{4}, & m_2(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{u_1 u_2} + \sqrt{u_3 u_4}}{2}, \\ m_3(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{u_1 u_3} + \sqrt{u_2 u_4}}{2}, & m_4(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{u_1 u_4} + \sqrt{u_2 u_3}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Thomae's formulas, he expresses the common limit of the components of $\{m^n(c_1, \dots, c_4)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by period integrals of a genus 2 hyperelliptic curve. For related studies, refer to [B], [MT] and [Me].

In this paper, we give Thomae type formula for $K3$ surfaces which are double covers of the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ branching along normal crossing six lines. The configurations of normal crossing six lines are parametrized by 3×6 matrices x and the corresponding $K3$ surface is denoted by $\mathcal{X}(x)$. Period integrals of $\mathcal{X}(x)$ are expressed in terms of two kinds of hypergeometric functions F_S and F_T of four variables defined in (8) and (9), respectively. In §3.1, we define a normalized period matrix τ of $\mathcal{X}(x)$ in the 4-dimensional bounded symmetric domain $\mathbb{D}$ of type I_{22} . Let $P_{3,3}$ be the set of unordered pair $\langle J \rangle = (J, J^c)$, such that $\#J = \#J^c = 3$ and $J \cup J^c = \{1, \dots, 6\}$. Then we have $\#P_{3,3} = 10$. To state the main theorem, we introduce the following notations:

1. $\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}(\tau)$ is theta functions on $\mathbb{D}$ evaluated at the normalized period matrix τ indexed by $\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}$,
2. $x\langle J \rangle$ is the product of two 3×3 -minors of a 3×6 -matrix x in the configuration space $X(3, 6)$ also indexed by $\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}$,
3. $\omega_{34}(x)$ is the period integral of the $K3$ surface $\mathcal{X}(x)$ given in (6).

Then the main theorem is the identity

$$\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(\tau) = \frac{1}{4\pi^4} x\langle J \rangle \omega_{34}(x)^2 \quad (4)$$

for any $\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}$.

The subfamily consisting of Kummer varieties of principally polarized abelian varieties is called the Kummer locus. This locus corresponds to the Siegle upper half space $\mathbb{H}_2$ realized as a closed subdomain of $\mathbb{D}$. Our identity becomes Thomae's formula for genus two curves on this locus.

In the paper [MSY] and [Ma], they prove that the point $[\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}(\tau)]_{\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^9$ is equal to $[x_{\langle J \rangle}]_{\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}}$. The key for our proof of the main theorem is the study of the relation between a period of $\mathcal{X}$ and the automorphic factor of $\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}$ by the action of the monodromy group of per via the isomorphism between $\mathbb{D}$ and D_H defined in §3.1.

As an application of our main theorem, we study a vector valued sequence obtained by mean iteration of a map from $\mathbb{R}_+^4$ to $\mathbb{R}_+^4$ which is different from that defined by Borchardt in §5.1. We show that this vector valued sequence has a common limit and that it can be expressed by the hypergeometric function F_S . This formula is obtained by the main theorem and 2τ formulas for the theta functions $\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}$ in Theorem 1. We also give an explanation on the relation between Borchardt's arithmetic-geometric mean $m_*(c_1, \dots, c_4)$ and the hypergeometric function F_S in §5.2. In the last section, we prove several functional equations of the hypergeometric function F_S arising from the invariance property for vector valued mean iterations. These are analogs of the Gauss transformation formula (3) for the hypergeometric function F_S .

2 Certain family of K3 surfaces

2.1 Double coverings of $\mathbb{P}^2$ branching along 6 lines

Let $M^\times(3, 6)$ be the open subset of $M(3, 6)$ defined by

$$M^\times(3, 6) = \left\{ x = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_6) \in M(3, 6) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{the determinants of } (3, 3)\text{-} \\ \text{minors are non-zero} \end{array} \right\}$$

For $\ell_i = {}^t(\ell_{0i}, \ell_{1i}, \ell_{2i})$, we define a linear function (t, ℓ_i) by $\sum_{j=1}^3 \ell_{j,i} t_j$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^*$ be the variety defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^* = \{(t : y) \times x \in \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3) \times M^\times(3, 6) \mid y^2 = (t, \ell_1) \cdots (t, \ell_6)\},$$

where $(t : y) = (t_0 : t_1 : t_2 : y)$, $x = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_6)$ and $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$ is the weighted projective space of weight $(1, 1, 1, 3)$. Then by the natural map

$pr_1 : \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times M^\times(3, 6)$, $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is a family of branched covering of $\mathbb{P}^2$ over $M^\times(3, 6)$. By resolving singularities, we have a family of K3 surfaces $pr_2 : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow M^\times(3, 6)$ on $M^\times(3, 6)$.

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a torus defined by

$$\mathbb{T} = \{\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times 6} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \mid \lambda_0^2 = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_6\}.$$

The group $GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T}$ acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ by

$$t \mapsto tg^{-1}, \quad x \mapsto g \cdot x \cdot \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6), \quad y \mapsto \lambda_0 y$$

for $(g, \lambda) \in GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T}$ and it induces an action of $GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T}$ on $M^\times(3, 6)$. The quotients of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $M^\times(3, 6)$ by $GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{X}$ and X , respectively. The natural map $pr_2 : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow M^\times(3, 6)$ induces a map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$, which is also denoted by pr_2 . The variety X is equal to the double coset space:

$$X = X(3, 6) = GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \backslash M^\times(3, 6) / (\mathbb{C}^\times)^6,$$

which is called the configuration space. The fiber of $\mathcal{X}$ at $x \in X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{X}(x)$.

There are 15 rational curves $\tilde{l}_{jk}(x)$ ($1 \leq j < k \leq 6$) in $\mathcal{X}(x)$ coming from the resolutions of nodes at $l_{jk}(x) = l_j(x) \cap l_k(x)$, where $l_i(x)$ is the line defined by $(t, \ell_i) = 0$. Let $\tilde{l}_0(x)$ be a pull back of a generic line in $\mathbb{P}^2$ by pr_1 . Let $S(x)$ be the subgroup of $H_2(\mathcal{X}(x), \mathbb{Z})$ generated by algebraic cycles $\tilde{l}_{jk}(x)$ and $\tilde{l}_0(x)$. Its orthogonal complement $T(x)$ in $H_2(\mathcal{X}(x), \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the intersection form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called the transcendental lattice of X and its rank is $22 - 16 = 6$. In §2.3, we give a basis of $T(x)$ and its dual in $H_2(\mathcal{X}(x), \mathbb{Z})$. These bases are slightly different from those defined in [MSY] and [Y].

2.2 Relative invariants and a global two form

The characters

$$\rho : GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T} \ni (g, \lambda) \mapsto \deg(g)\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times :$$

and ρ^2 define linearizations of $GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{M^\times(3, 6)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}$. The invariant line bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ and X under these actions are denoted by $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$, respectively. We have $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} = pr_2^* \mathcal{M}$.

We construct elements of $H^0(X, \mathcal{M})$. Let J be a subset of the set $\{1, \dots, 6\}$ with cardinality 3 and J^c be its complement. By reordering elements, we may write J and J^c as

$$J = \{j_1, j_2, j_3\}, \quad j_1 < j_2 < j_3, \quad J^c = \{j_4, j_5, j_6\}, \quad j_4 < j_5 < j_6.$$

A pair $\langle J \rangle = (J, J^c) = (J^c, J)$ of J and J^c is called a $(3, 3)$ -partition of the set $\{1, \dots, 6\}$. The set of $(3, 3)$ -partitions is denoted by $P_{3,3}$. Note that $\#P_{3,3} = 10$. For $x = (x_{ij}) \in M(3, 6)$ and $\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}$, we set

$$x\langle J \rangle = \det(x_{i,j_k})_{1 \leq i, k \leq 3} \det(x_{i,j_{k+3}})_{1 \leq i, k \leq 3}.$$

Then $x\langle J \rangle$ is an element of $H^0(X, \mathcal{M})$. By Plücker relations, we have the following.

Lemma 1 *Let St be the set of $(2, 2, 2)$ -standard tableaux i.e. $(J, J^c) = (\{j_1, j_2, j_3\}, \{j_4, j_5, j_6\})$ with*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_1 & < & j_4 \\ \wedge & & \wedge \\ j_2 & < & j_5 \\ \wedge & & \wedge \\ j_3 & < & j_6. \end{array}$$

Then $\#St = 5$ and $\{x\langle J \rangle \mid \langle J \rangle \in St\}$ forms a basis of a linear system in $H^0(X, \mathcal{M})$ generated by the polynomials $x\langle ijk \rangle$ ($1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$).

Let $\widehat{pl}$ be the map from $M^\times(3, 6)$ to $\mathbb{C}^{10}$ defined by

$$\widehat{pl} : M^\times(3, 6) \ni x \mapsto (\dots, x\langle J \rangle, \dots)_{\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}} \in \mathbb{C}^{10},$$

where we arrange $x\langle J \rangle$ lexicographically for $J = \{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ with $j_3 \leq 5$. By Lemma 1, the image of $\widehat{pl}$ is contained in a 5-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{10}$. The map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ induced from $\widehat{pl}$ is denoted by pl .

The space of relative global holomorphic 2-forms $H^0(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}, \Omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/M^\times(3,6)}^2)$ is generated by

$$\varphi = \frac{t_0 dt_1 \wedge dt_2 - t_1 dt_0 \wedge dt_2 + t_2 dt_0 \wedge dt_1}{y}.$$

Proposition 1 *The form φ satisfies the equality:*

$$(g, \lambda_i, \lambda)^* \varphi = \rho^{-1}(g, \lambda_i, \lambda) \varphi.$$

Therefore it defines a global section of $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/X}^2 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})$.

2.3 Topological cycles at a reference point

We take a reference point $\dot{x}$ in $M^\times(3, 6)$ as

$$\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1^2 & p_2^2 & p_3^2 & p_4^2 & p_5^2 & p_6^2 \\ -p_1 & -p_2 & -p_3 & -p_4 & -p_5 & -p_6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $p_1 = -3$, $p_2 = -2$, $p_3 = -1$, $p_4 = 1$, $p_5 = 2$, $p_6 = 3$. We consider the affine coordinates $s_1 = t_1/t_0$ and $s_2 = t_2/t_0$ of $\mathbb{P}^2$. We construct topological 2-cycles of $\mathcal{X}(\dot{x})$ using the isomorphism of the Kummer surface of C and $\mathcal{X}(\dot{x})$ given in [Te].

Let C be a hyperelliptic curve defined by

$$u^2 = \prod_{i=1}^6 (w - p_i),$$

and C_1, C_2 be copies of C . Let $\text{sym} : C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\dot{x})$ be a map defined by

$$((w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2)) \mapsto (s_1, s_2, y) = (w_1 + w_2, w_1 w_2, u_1 u_2).$$

For $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$, the 1-chain in $\mathbb{P}^1$ defined by the segment from a to b is denoted by (a, b) . We define chains A'_1, A'_2, B'_1 and B'_2 in C by the liftings of (p_1, p_2) , (p_5, p_6) , (p_2, p_3) and (p_4, p_5) on which $1/u$ is in $\mathbb{1}\mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbb{1}\mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then $A_i = A'_i - \sigma(A'_i)$ and $B_i = B'_i - \sigma(B'_i)$ become 1-cycles on C .

We set $A_1 = \gamma_1$, $A_2 = \gamma_2$, $B_1 = \gamma_3$ and $B_2 = \gamma_4$. We define a topological cycle $\overline{\gamma}_{ij}^*$ by $\text{sym}_*(\overline{\gamma}_i \times \overline{\gamma}_j)$. The proper inverse image of $\overline{\gamma}_{ij}^*$ in $\mathcal{X}(\dot{x})$ is denoted by $\overline{\gamma}_{ij}$. Then $\gamma_{ij} = \overline{\gamma}_{ij}/2$ is an element in $H_2(\mathcal{X}(\dot{x}), \mathbb{Z})$. Let γ'_{ij} be the orthogonal projection of $2\gamma_{ij}$ to $T(x)$. Then $\{\gamma'_{12}, \gamma'_{13}, \gamma'_{14}, \gamma'_{23}, \gamma'_{24}, \gamma'_{34}\}$ is a basis of $T(x)$. Since

$$\text{sym}^*(\varphi(\dot{x})) = \frac{(w_1 - w_2)dw_1 \wedge dw_2}{u_1 u_2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\gamma_{12}} \varphi(\dot{x}) &\in -\mathbb{R}_+^\times, & \iint_{\gamma_{34}} \varphi(\dot{x}) &\in \mathbb{R}_+^\times, \\ \iint_{\gamma_{13}} \varphi(\dot{x}), \iint_{\gamma_{14}} \varphi(\dot{x}) &\in \mathbb{1}\mathbb{R}_+^\times, & \iint_{\gamma_{23}} \varphi(\dot{x}), \iint_{\gamma_{24}} \varphi(\dot{x}) &\in -\mathbb{1}\mathbb{R}_+^\times. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Proposition 2 *We set*

$$\gamma = {}^t(\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{24}, \gamma_{34}), \quad \gamma' = {}^t(\gamma'_{12}, \gamma'_{13}, \gamma'_{14}, \gamma'_{23}, \gamma'_{24}, \gamma'_{34}).$$

Then the intersection matrix is equal to

$$(\gamma \cdot {}^t\gamma') = H, \quad (\gamma' \cdot {}^t\gamma') = 2H,$$

where

$$H = - \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & 1 \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the lattice structure of $T(x)$ is equal to $U(2) \oplus U(2) \oplus A_1(-1) \oplus A_1(-1)$.

(For details, see [MSY] and [Y], Chapter VIII.)

For any $x \in M^\times(3, 6)$, take a path ρ_x in $M^\times(3, 6)$ connecting $\dot{x}$ and x , and define bases $\gamma_{ij}(x)$ and $\gamma'_{ij}(x)$ as the continuations of $\gamma_{ij}(\dot{x})$ and $\gamma'_{ij}(\dot{x})$ along the path ρ_x by the local triviality. They depend only on the homotopy class of ρ_x . The intersection matrix for $(\gamma'(x) \cdot {}^t\gamma'(x))$ are equal to that in Proposition 2. Let ω be a vector defined by

$$\omega(x) = {}^t(\omega_{12}(x), \omega_{13}(x), \omega_{14}(x), \omega_{23}(x), \omega_{24}(x), \omega_{34}(x)), \quad (6)$$

$$\omega_{ij}(x) = \iint_{\gamma_{ij}(x)} \varphi(x).$$

The map

$$\widetilde{per} : \widetilde{X} \ni x \mapsto [\omega(x)] \in \mathbb{P}^5, \quad (7)$$

is called the period map, where $\widetilde{X}$ is the universal covering of X .

2.4 Period integrals and Hypergeometric functions

We define two hypergeometric series $F_S^\alpha(z)$ and $F_T^\alpha(z)$ of variables $z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix}$ with parameters $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6)$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^6 \alpha_j = 3$ as

$$F_S^\alpha(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^4} \frac{(1-\alpha_1)_{n_1+n_3} (1-\alpha_2)_{n_2+n_4} (\alpha_5)_{n_1+n_2} (\alpha_6)_{n_3+n_4}}{(2-\alpha_1-\alpha_3)_{n_1+n_3} (2-\alpha_2-\alpha_4)_{n_2+n_4} n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} z^n, \quad (8)$$

$$F_T^\alpha(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^4} \frac{(1-\alpha_1)_{n_1+n_3} (1-\alpha_2)_{n_2+n_4} (\alpha_5)_{n_1+n_2} (\alpha_6)_{n_3+n_4}}{(3-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3)_{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4} n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} z^n, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $z^n = z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} z_3^{n_3} z_4^{n_4}$ for $n = (n_1, \dots, n_4)$, $(\alpha_j)_{n_j} = \alpha_j(\alpha_j + 1) \cdots (\alpha_j + n_j - 1) = \Gamma(\alpha_j + n_j)/\Gamma(\alpha_j)$, and we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - 2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 - 2 &\notin \mathbb{N} & \text{for } F_S^\alpha(z), \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 3 &\notin \mathbb{N} & \text{for } F_T^\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

They absolutely converge on the domain

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid |z_1| + |z_2| < 1, |z_3| + |z_4| < 1\}.$$

By the standard argument for Euler type integrals, we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 3 *The hypergeometric series F_S^α and F_T^α admit the integral representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} F_S^\alpha(z) &= \frac{1}{B(1 - \alpha_1, 1 - \alpha_3)B(1 - \alpha_2, 1 - \alpha_4)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 L_S^\alpha(z, s) ds_1 ds_2, \\ F_T^\alpha(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(3 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha_1)\Gamma(1 - \alpha_2)\Gamma(1 - \alpha_3)} \iint_{\Delta} L_T^\alpha(z, s) ds_1 ds_2, \end{aligned}$$

where B denotes the beta function,

$$\begin{aligned} L_S^\alpha(z, s) &= s_1^{-\alpha_1} s_2^{-\alpha_2} (1 - s_1)^{-\alpha_3} (1 - s_2)^{-\alpha_4} \\ &\quad \times (1 - z_1 s_1 - z_2 s_2)^{-\alpha_5} (1 - z_3 s_1 - z_4 s_2)^{-\alpha_6}, \\ L_T^\alpha(z, s) &= s_1^{-\alpha_1} s_2^{-\alpha_2} (1 - s_1 - s_2)^{-\alpha_3} \\ &\quad \times (1 - z_1 s_1 - z_2 s_2)^{-\alpha_5} (1 - z_3 s_1 - z_4 s_2)^{-\alpha_6}, \\ \Delta &= \{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid s_1 > 0, s_2 > 0, s_1 + s_2 < 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\arg(s_j) = \arg(1 - s_j) = \arg(1 - s_1 - s_2) = 0$ on each interior area of the integrations, $\arg(1 - z_1 s_1 - z_2 s_2)$, $\arg(1 - z_3 s_1 - z_4 s_2)$ become 0 at $(s_1, s_2) = (0, 0)$, and we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1), \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2), \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_3), \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_4) &< 1 & \text{for } F_S^\alpha(z), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1), \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2), \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_3) &< 1 & \text{for } F_T^\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we put $\alpha = (1/2, \dots, 1/2)$ and we set

$$F_S(z) = F_S^\alpha(z), \quad F_T(z) = F_T^\alpha(z).$$

We can regard $x\langle J \rangle \omega_{ij}(x)^2$ as a multivalued function on X for any $\langle J \rangle$. Proposition 1 and 3 imply the following.

Proposition 4 *For a fixed $\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}$, the product $x\langle J \rangle \cdot \omega_{ij}(x)^2$ is invariant under the action of $GL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T}$, i.e.,*

$$(g, \lambda_i, \lambda)^*(x\langle J \rangle \cdot \omega_{ij}^2) = x\langle J \rangle \cdot \omega_{ij}^2.$$

As a consequence, if x is in a neighborhood of $\dot{x} \in M^\times(3,6)$, $\omega_{ij}(x)^2$ can be expressed as

$$\omega_{ij}(x)^2 x\langle J \rangle = \begin{cases} 4\pi^4 F_S(\zeta_{ij}(x))^2 \nu_{ij}\langle J \rangle, & (i,j) = (1,2), (1,4), (2,3), (3,4), \\ 16\pi^2 F_T(\zeta_{ij}(x))^2 \nu_{ij}\langle J \rangle, & (i,j) = (1,3), (2,4), \end{cases}$$

where we set $\zeta_{ij}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix}$ so that the following $\nu_{12}, \dots, \nu_{34} \in M^\times(3,6)$ are equivalent to x as elements of X :

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -z_1 & -z_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -z_2 & -z_4 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \nu_{13} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -z_1 & -z_3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -z_2 & -z_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \nu_{14} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -z_1 & 0 & 0 & -z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -z_2 & 1 & -1 & -z_4 \end{pmatrix}, & \nu_{23} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -z_1 & 0 & 0 & -z_3 & -1 & 1 \\ -z_2 & -1 & 1 & -z_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \nu_{24} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -z_1 & -z_3 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -z_2 & -z_4 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \nu_{34} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -z_1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -z_3 \\ -z_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -z_4 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

2.5 Preparation for the association involution

Let as be an automorphism of $M^\times(3,6)$ given by

$$as : M^\times(3,6) \ni (y_1, y_2) \mapsto ({}^t(y_1^{-1}y_2y_1), {}^ty_1) \in M^\times(3,6),$$

where $y_1, y_2 \in GL_3(\mathbb{C})$. By a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\tilde{pl} \circ as = \tilde{pl}, \quad as^2(y_1, y_2) = (y_1^{-1}y_2y_1y_2^{-1}y_1, y_1^{-1}y_2y_1) = y_1^{-1}y_2y_1y_2^{-1}(y_1, y_2).$$

Therefore as induces an involution on X , which is called the association involution and also denoted by as . By the above equality, we have an induced morphism

$$pl^* : X/\langle as \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4.$$

Proposition 5 (Chapter VII of [Y]) *The morphism pl^* is an open immersion.*

Let $\overline{X}$ be the normalization of $\mathbb{P}^4$ in X . Then we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \rightarrow & \overline{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X/\langle as \rangle & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}^4. \end{array}$$

The induced map $\overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is denoted as $\overline{pl}$. Let $x = (x_{ij})_{ij} \in M^\times(3, 6)$. We define the following polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \det(x_{1i}^2, x_{2i}^2, x_{3i}^2, x_{2i}x_{3i}, x_{3i}x_{1i}, x_{1i}x_{2i})_{i=1,\dots,6}, \\ D(ijk) &= \det(x_{pi}, x_{pj}, x_{pk})_{p=1,\dots,3}, \\ \{ij; kl\} &= D(ijm)D(ijn)D(mkl)D(nkl), \\ T(ijklmn) &= D(ijk)D(klm)D(mni)D(nlj) \end{aligned}$$

for $\{i, j, k, l, m, n\} = \{1, \dots, 6\}$. Then we have $\{ij; kl\} = \pm x\langle ijm \rangle x\langle inj \rangle$ and $as(Q) = -Q$. We give an explicit description of the normal form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & x_1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & x_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

of the inverse image under $\overline{pl}$. By Lemma A6.8 of [MSY], we have

$$x_2 = \frac{D(125)D(234)}{D(235)D(124)} = \frac{T(125364)}{\{35; 14\}}.$$

We have $as(T(125364)) = T(364125)$ and by Lemma A7.3 of [MSY], we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(125364) + as(T(125364)) &= \{14; 53\} - \{52; 16\} + \{63; 54\} \\ &\quad - \{23; 15\} + \{24; 56\} \\ T(125364) \cdot as(T(125364)) &= \{16; 23\} \cdot \{12; 36\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $T(125364)$ is defined by a quadratic equation with the coefficients in polynomials of $x\langle ijk \rangle$'s. The values x_1, y_2, y_2 can be obtained by substituting indices $2 \leftrightarrow 3, 5 \leftrightarrow 6$.

Since

$$T(125364) - as(T(125364)) = Q,$$

if $\{16; 23\} \cdot \{12; 36\} = 0$, then $Q = T(125364)$ or $-T(364125)$ and the values x_2, x_1, y_2, y_1 are polynomials of $x\langle ijk \rangle$. Thus we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *The inverse image of the divisor $\{x\langle 164 \rangle = 0\}$ on $\mathbb{P}^4$ under the map $\overline{pl}$ consists of two irreducible components. On $\overline{X}$, we can express x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 as rational functions of $x\langle ijk \rangle$ on each irreducible component.*

For an explicit description of the inverse image, see Proposition 8.

3 Bounded symmetric domains and theta functions.

3.1 Period map and symmetric domains D_H and $\mathbb{D}$

In this section, we introduce two symmetric domains D_H and $\mathbb{D}$. The target space for the natural period map for K3 surfaces is the symmetric domain D_H of type IV. We use theta functions on $\mathbb{D}$ and an isomorphism between D_H and $\mathbb{D}$ to construct automorphic functions on D_H by using results in [Ma].

By Riemann bilinear relations for the K3 surface $\mathcal{X}(x)$, and the choice of orientations of $T(x)$ in (5), the class $[\omega(x)]$ of (6) in $\mathbb{P}^5$ belongs to the subset

$$D_H = \{[w] \in \mathbb{P}^5 \mid {}^t\omega H \omega = 0, \quad \omega^* H \omega > 0, \quad \text{Im}\left(\frac{\omega_{14}}{\omega_{34}}\right) > 0\}.$$

Here $y^* = {}^t\overline{y}$ denotes the adjoint of a matrix y . Therefore we regard the map (7) as $\widetilde{per} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow D_H$.

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the bounded symmetric domain of type I_{22} defined by

$$\mathbb{D} = \{\tau \in M(2, 2) \mid \frac{\tau - \tau^*}{2_1} \text{ is positive definite } \}.$$

In this subsection, we define an isomorphism $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow D_H$. Let τ be an element in $\mathbb{D}$. We set

$$\tilde{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ E_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\tilde{\tau}\langle i_1 i_2 \rangle$ be the $(i_1, i_2) \times (1, 2)$ -minor of the 4×2 matrix $\tilde{\tau}$. They satisfy the Plücker relation

$$\tilde{\tau}\langle 12 \rangle \tilde{\tau}\langle 34 \rangle - \tilde{\tau}\langle 13 \rangle \tilde{\tau}\langle 24 \rangle + \tilde{\tau}\langle 14 \rangle \tilde{\tau}\langle 23 \rangle = {}^t v(\tilde{\tau}) H' v(\tilde{\tau}) = 0,$$

where

$$H' = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ -1 & & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad v(\tilde{\tau}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\tau}\langle 12 \rangle \\ \tilde{\tau}\langle 13 \rangle \\ \tilde{\tau}\langle 14 \rangle \\ \tilde{\tau}\langle 23 \rangle \\ \tilde{\tau}\langle 24 \rangle \\ \tilde{\tau}\langle 34 \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the matrix $(\tau - \tau^*)/2i$ is positive definite, we have

$$v(\tilde{\tau})^* H v(\tilde{\tau}) > 0, \quad \text{Im}\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}\langle 14 \rangle(\tau)}{\tilde{\tau}\langle 34 \rangle(\tau)}\right) > 0.$$

We set

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \frac{1+i}{2} & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & \frac{-1+i}{2} & & & \frac{1+i}{2} \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by the equality

$$Q^* H Q = H, \quad H' = {}^t Q H Q, \quad H = {}^t Q H' Q,$$

the class $[Qv(\tilde{\tau})]$ of $Qv(\tilde{\tau})$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is contained in D_H . Thus we have an isomorphism

$$pJ_{\mathbb{D}} : \mathbb{D} \ni \tau \mapsto [Qv(\tilde{\tau})] \in D_H. \quad (10)$$

We define the normalized period matrix of $\mathcal{X}(x)$ by $\tau = \tau(x) = pJ_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1}([\omega(x)]) \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we have

$$\tau(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_{34}(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{14}(x) & -\frac{\omega_{13}(x) - i\omega_{24}(x)}{1+i} \\ -\frac{\omega_{13}(x) + i\omega_{24}(x)}{1-i} & -\omega_{23}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where $\omega_{ij}(x)$ are defined by (6). Thus we have a map

$$\widetilde{per} : \tilde{X} \ni x \mapsto \tau(x) \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since $\tilde{\tau}\langle 34 \rangle(\tau) = 1$, we have

$$J_{\mathbb{D}}(\tau(x)) = \omega(x)/\omega_{34}(x) \quad (12)$$

as elements of $\mathbb{C}^6$.

3.2 Homomorphisms of discrete groups

We define a discrete group Γ_H in $GL_6(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\Gamma_H = \{R \in GL_6(\mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^t R H R = H, \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{[R\omega(\dot{x})]_{14}}{[R\omega(\dot{x})]_{34}}\right) > 0\},$$

where $[R\omega(\dot{x})]_{ij}$ denotes the (ij) -component of $R\omega(\dot{x})$. Its center consists of $\pm E_6$. The group Γ_H acts on D_H from the left. Since the monodromy action preserves the intersection forms, the monodromy group is contained in Γ_H .

We define $U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$ and the principal congruence subgroup of level $(1+1)$ by

$$U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1]) = \left\{g \in GL_4(\mathbb{Z}[1]) \mid g I_{22} g^* = I_{22}\right\},$$

$$U_{22}(1+1) = \{g \in U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1]) \mid g \equiv E_4 \pmod{(1+1)}\}.$$

Then an element $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ in $U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$ acts on $\mathbb{D}$ by

$$g \cdot \tau = (g_{11}\tau + g_{12})(g_{21}\tau + g_{22})^{-1},$$

where $I_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} O & -E_2 \\ E_2 & O \end{pmatrix}$ and $g_{ij} \in M(2, 2)$.

In this subsection, we define a homomorphism $U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1]) \rightarrow \Gamma_H / \langle \pm 1 \rangle$ of discrete group which is compatible with the isomorphism of symmetric domains $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow D_H$ defined in (10). For $g = (g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$, we set a 6×6 matrix $\wedge^2 g$ by

$$\wedge^2 g = \left(\begin{array}{cc} g_{i_1 j_1} & g_{i_1 j_2} \\ g_{i_2 j_1} & g_{i_2 j_2} \end{array} \right)_{(i_1 i_2), (j_1 j_2)},$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq 4$, $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq 4$, and they are arranged lexicographically. Then we have

$$\det(\wedge^2 g) = \det(g)^3,$$

and

$$\det(g_{21}\tau + g_{22})v(\tilde{\tau}') = v(g\tilde{\tau}) = (\wedge^2 g)v(\tilde{\tau})$$

as elements of $\mathbb{C}^6$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\tau' = g \cdot \tau = (g_{11}\tau + g_{12})(g_{21}\tau + g_{22})^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\tau}' = \begin{pmatrix} \tau' \\ E_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g\tilde{\tau} = g \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ E_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have

$$\det(g_{\underline{21}}\tau + g_{\underline{22}})Qv(\tilde{\tau}') = \{Q(\wedge^2 g)Q^{-1}\}\{Qv(\tilde{\tau})\},$$

and by the definition of $J_{\mathbb{D}}$, we have

$$\det(g_{\underline{21}}\tau + g_{\underline{22}})J_{\mathbb{D}}(g \cdot \tau) = Q(\wedge^2 g)Q^{-1}J_{\mathbb{D}}(\tau). \quad (13)$$

The matrix $Q(\wedge^2 g)Q^{-1}$ belongs to the orthogonal group with respect to the quadratic form H . Moreover, a straight forward calculation shows

$$Q(\wedge^2 g)Q^{-1} \in \begin{cases} SL_6(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } \det(g) = 1, \\ {}_1SL_6(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } \det(g) = -1, \end{cases}$$

for $g \in U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$. We set

$$R_g = \sqrt{\det(g)}Q(\wedge^2 g)Q^{-1}, \quad (14)$$

which is determined modulo sign. Since $\wedge^2({}_1g) = -\wedge^2 g$, we have $R_{{}_1g} = -R_g$. Thus R_g defines a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{2,2}(\mathbb{Z}[1])/\langle iE_4 \rangle & \rightarrow & \Gamma_H/\langle \pm E_6 \rangle \\ \cap & & \cap \\ Aut(\mathbb{D}) & \rightarrow & Aut(D_H) \end{array}.$$

3.3 Monodromy actions on the spaces D_H and $\mathbb{D}$

Each center of $U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$ and $U_{22}(1+1)$ is the group $\langle {}_1E_4 \rangle$ generated by the scalar matrix ${}_1E_4$. We have

$${}^t(\bar{g} \cdot \tau) = g \cdot {}^t\tau$$

for any $g \in U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{D}$. Let tp be the transpose operator acting on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\langle tp \rangle$ be the group generated by tp . The fixed locus of tp is the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_2 = \{\tau \in \mathbb{D} \mid {}^t\tau = \tau\}$ of degree 2. We define $U_{22}^{tp}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$ acting on $\mathbb{D}$ as the group generated by $U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])/\langle {}_1E_4 \rangle$ and $\langle tp \rangle$ with relations

$$(tp)g = \bar{g}(tp)$$

for any $g \in U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])$. This group is a semi-direct product $(U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])/\langle {}_1E_4 \rangle) \rtimes \langle tp \rangle$. We set $U_{22}^{tp}(1+1) = (U_{22}(1+1)/\langle {}_1E_4 \rangle) \rtimes \langle tp \rangle$.

Proposition 6 ([Ma],[KiM],[Y]) (1) We define the principally congruence subgroup $\Gamma_H(2)$ of level 2 by

$$\Gamma_H(2) = \{R \in \Gamma_H \mid R \equiv E_6 \pmod{2}\}.$$

Then the monodromy group for $\widetilde{\text{per}} : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow D_H$ is equal to $\Gamma_H(2)$.

(2) The monodromy group of $\widetilde{\text{per}} : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ over X is equal to

$$U_{22}^M(1 + \mathfrak{1}) = \{(g, tp^k) \in U_{22}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{1}) \mid \det(g) = (-1)^k\}.$$

We note that $\det(g)$ is well defined on $U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{1}])/\langle \mathfrak{1}E_4 \rangle$. The monodromy group over $X/\langle as \rangle$ is equal to $U_{22}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{1})$. The map $\text{per} \circ pl^{*-1}$ induces the isomorphism from $\mathbb{P}^4$ to the Satake compactification of the quotient $\mathbb{D}/U_{22}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{1})$.

(3) Let As be an element in $GL_6(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$As(\omega_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \omega_{ij} & (i, j) \neq (1, 3), (2, 4) \\ \omega_{13} & (i, j) = (2, 4) \\ \omega_{24} & (i, j) = (1, 3), \end{cases}$$

and as the association involution defined in §2.5. Then we have

$$\omega(as(x)) = As(\omega(x)) \tag{15}$$

for x in a small neighborhood of $\dot{x} \in X$.

(4) Under the isomorphism $\text{Aut}(D_H) \simeq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, the matrix As defined in (3) corresponds to tp . Therefore we have

$$\tau(as(x)) = tp(\tau(x)) \tag{16}$$

for x in a small neighborhood of $\dot{x} \in X$ and an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_H(2) \simeq U_{22}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{1}), \tag{17}$$

where $\widetilde{\Gamma}_H(2) = \Gamma_H(2)/\langle \pm E_6 \rangle \cdot \langle As \rangle$.

By the above proposition, we have a map

$$\text{per} : X \rightarrow D_H/\Gamma_H(2) \simeq \mathbb{D}/U_{22}^M(1 + \mathfrak{1}).$$

Since the last components $j_{\mathbb{D}}(\tau)$ and $j_{\mathbb{D}}(g \cdot \tau)$ are 1, we have

$$\pm \det(g_{\underline{21}}\tau(x) + g_{\underline{22}}) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\det(g)}[R_g\omega(x)]_{34}}{\omega_{34}(x)}$$

by the equality (13) together with (12) and (14), where $[R_g\omega(x)]_{34}$ denotes the (34)-component of the column vector $R_g\omega(x)$. By squaring this equality, we have

$$\det(g) \det(g_{\underline{21}}\tau(x) + g_{\underline{22}})^2 = \frac{[R_g\omega(x)]_{34}^2}{\omega_{34}(x)^2} \quad (18)$$

for $g \in U_{22}(\mathbb{Z}[1])/\langle 1E_4 \rangle$.

3.4 Theta functions and their functional equations

The theta function Θ_{ab} with characteristic a, b on $\mathbb{D}$ is defined as

$$\Theta_{ab}(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[1]^2} \mathbf{e}\left[\frac{1}{2}(n+a)\tau(n+a)^* + \operatorname{Re}((n+a)b^*)\right], \quad (19)$$

where $x^* = {}^t\bar{x}$, $\mathbf{e}[x] = \exp(2\pi i x)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{D}$, $n = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[1]^2$, $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[1]^2$.

Remark 1 This Θ_{ab} is different from that defined in [MY] and [Ma] by the factor of $\mathbf{e}[\operatorname{Re}(ab^*)]$. If τ belongs to the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_2$ of degree 2, then Θ_{ab} decomposes into the product of Riemann's theta constants:

$$\Theta_{ab}(\tau) = \vartheta_{\operatorname{Re}(a)\operatorname{Re}(b)}(\tau) \vartheta_{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}(\tau),$$

where

$$\vartheta_{a'b'}(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \mathbf{e}\left[\frac{1}{2}(n+a')\tau {}^t(n+a') + (n+a') {}^t b'\right]$$

for $a', b' \in \mathbb{Q}^2$.

This function satisfies

$$\Theta_{ab}({}^t\tau) = \Theta_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}(\tau), \quad \Theta_{a+n, b}(\tau) = \Theta_{ab}(\tau), \quad \Theta_{a, b+n}(\tau) = \mathbf{e}[\operatorname{Re}(an^*)] \Theta_{ab}(\tau),$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}[1]^2$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[1]^2$, $\Theta_{\frac{a}{1+i}, \frac{b}{1+i}}(\tau)$ is denoted by $\Theta_{[ab]}(\tau)$. Then $\Theta_{[ab]}^2(\tau)$ depends only on the class of a and b in $\mathbb{F}_2^2 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}[1]/(1+i)\mathbb{Z}[1])^2$. We set

$$Ev = \{(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}[1]/(1+i)\mathbb{Z}[1])^2 \mid ab^* = 0 \bmod (1+i)\}.$$

$\langle 123 \rangle \leftrightarrow [1111]$	$\langle 145 \rangle \leftrightarrow [1100]$
$\langle 124 \rangle \leftrightarrow [0011]$	$\langle 234 \rangle \leftrightarrow [1001]$
$\langle 125 \rangle \leftrightarrow [0010]$	$\langle 235 \rangle \leftrightarrow [1000]$
$\langle 134 \rangle \leftrightarrow [0001]$	$\langle 245 \rangle \leftrightarrow [0100]$
$\langle 135 \rangle \leftrightarrow [0000]$	$\langle 345 \rangle \leftrightarrow [0110]$

Table 1: Correspondence between $\langle J \rangle$ and $[ab]$

Then we have $\#Ev = 10$ and $\Theta_{[ab]}(\tau) = 0$ if $(a, b) \notin Ev$. We identify the sets $P_{3,3}$ and Ev by the rule given in Table 1. Under this correspondence, $\Theta_{[ab]}^2(\tau)$ is denoted by $\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(\tau)$.

Remark 2 *The correspondence between $P_{3,3}$ and Ev is different from that in [Ma], since the bases of the transcendental lattice $T(x)$ are different.*

Proposition 7 ([Ma]) (1) *They satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{[ab]}^2({}^t\tau) &= \Theta_{[ab]}^2(\tau), \\ \Theta_{[ab]}^2(g \cdot \tau) &= \det(g) \det(g_{21}\tau + g_{22})^2 \Theta_{[ab]}^2(\tau),\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for any } g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in U_{22}(1 + \mathfrak{i}).$$

(2) *We define a map $\theta : \mathbb{D}/U_{22}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$ by*

$$\tau \mapsto [\dots, \Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(\tau), \dots]_{\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}} \in \mathbb{P}^9.$$

Then the map pl is equal to the composite $\theta \circ \text{per}$ from X to $\mathbb{P}^9$.

Theorem 1 (2 τ -formula) *We have*

$$4\Theta_{ab}(2\tau) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{F}_2^2} \mathbf{e}[-\text{Re}(aq^*)] \Theta_{(1+\mathfrak{i})a, \frac{b+q}{1-\mathfrak{i}}}(\tau),$$

where q runs over the set $\mathbb{F}_2^2 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{[0000]}(2\tau) &= \frac{1}{4}(\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)), \\ \Theta_{[0100]}(2\tau) &= \frac{1}{4}(\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau) - \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau) - \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)), \\ \Theta_{[1000]}(2\tau) &= \frac{1}{4}(\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau) - \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau) - \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)), \\ \Theta_{[1100]}(2\tau) &= \frac{1}{4}(\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau) - \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau) - \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider the summation

$$\sum_{n' \in L} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{F}_2^2} \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(n'q^*)] \cdot \mathbf{e}[(n' + a)\tau(n' + a)^* + \text{Re}((n' + a)b^*)], \quad (20)$$

where $L = \frac{1}{1+i}\mathbb{Z}[i]^2$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[i]^2 \subset L$, $L/\mathbb{Z}[i]^2 \simeq \mathbb{F}_2^2$ and $\mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(n'q^*)]$ ($q \in \mathbb{F}_2^2$) are the characters of the quotient group $L/\mathbb{Z}[i]^2$, this summation reduces to the four times of the summation over the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}[i]^2$:

$$4 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} \mathbf{e}[(n + a)\tau(n + a)^* + \text{Re}((n + a)b^*)] = 4\Theta_{ab}(2\tau).$$

On the other hand, the summation (20) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \in \mathbb{F}_2^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^2} \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(\frac{n}{1+i}q^*)] \cdot \mathbf{e}[(\frac{n}{1+i} + a)\tau(\frac{n}{1+i} + a)^* + \text{Re}((\frac{n}{1+i} + a)b^*)] \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{F}_2^2} \mathbf{e}[-\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(aq^*)] \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^2} \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}(n + (1+i)a)\tau(n + (1+i)a)^*] \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{e}[\text{Re}((n + (1+i)a)(\frac{b+q}{1-i})^*)] \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{F}_2^2} \mathbf{e}[-\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(aq^*)] \Theta_{(1+i)a, \frac{b+q}{1-i}}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in \frac{1}{1+i}\mathbb{F}_2^2$ and $b = (0, 0)$, we have the rests. $\square$

Corollary 1

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{[0001]}^2(2\tau) + \Theta_{[1111]}^2(2\tau) &= \frac{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau)}{2} \frac{\Theta_{[0001]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)}{2}, \\ \Theta_{[0010]}^2(2\tau) + \Theta_{[1111]}^2(2\tau) &= \frac{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau)}{2} \frac{\Theta_{[0010]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)}{2}, \\ \Theta_{[0011]}^2(2\tau) + \Theta_{[1111]}^2(2\tau) &= \frac{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)\Theta_{[0011]}(\tau) + \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau)\Theta_{[0010]}(\tau)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 7 and Plücker relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{[0001]}^2(2\tau) + \Theta_{[1111]}^2(2\tau) &= \Theta_{[0000]}^2(2\tau) - \Theta_{[0100]}^2(2\tau), \\ \Theta_{[0010]}^2(2\tau) + \Theta_{[1111]}^2(2\tau) &= \Theta_{[0000]}^2(2\tau) - \Theta_{[1000]}^2(2\tau), \\ \Theta_{[0011]}^2(2\tau) + \Theta_{[1111]}^2(2\tau) &= \Theta_{[0000]}^2(2\tau) - \Theta_{[0100]}^2(2\tau) - \Theta_{[1000]}^2(2\tau) + \Theta_{[1100]}^2(2\tau). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1, we have the corollary. $\square$

4 Thomae type formula for K3 surfaces

4.1 Main Theorem

Theorem 2 *Suppose that x is in a neighborhood U of our reference point $\dot{x} \in M^\times(3, 6)$. Let τ be an element of $\mathbb{D}$ defined in (11). Then we have*

$$\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(\tau) = \frac{1}{4\pi^4} x \langle J \rangle \omega_{34}(x)^2 \quad (21)$$

for any $\langle J \rangle \in P_{3,3}$

Remark 3 *Using the notations in Proposition 4, the above value is equal to $\nu_{34} \langle J \rangle F_S(z)^2$.*

Proof. By the first statement of Proposition 4, $x \langle J \rangle \omega_{34}(x)^2$ is a holomorphic function on D_H . We use actions of $\tilde{\Gamma}_H(2)$ (defined in Proposition 6) and $U_{22}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{i})$ on the domains D_H and $\mathbb{D}$ to compare two functions $\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(\tau)$ and $x \langle J \rangle \omega_{34}(x)^2$.

Lemma 3 *Let $\mathcal{J}_1(g, \tau)$ ($\gamma \in U_{2,2}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{i}), \tau \in \mathbb{D}$) and $\mathcal{J}_2(R, \omega)$ ($R \in \tilde{\Gamma}_H(2), \omega \in D_H$) be two cocycles defined by*

$$\mathcal{J}_1(g, \tau) = \frac{\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(g\tau)}{\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(\tau)}, \quad \mathcal{J}_2(R, \omega) = \frac{R\omega_{34}^2}{\omega_{34}^2}. \quad (22)$$

Then they coincide via the isomorphisms (10) and (17).

Proof. Since the group $U_{2,2}^{tp}(1 + \mathfrak{i})$ is generated by $U_{22}(1 + \mathfrak{i})/\langle \mathfrak{i}E_4 \rangle$ and tp , it is enough to show the identity (22) for

(1) $g \in U_{22}(1 + \mathfrak{i})/\langle \mathfrak{i}E_4 \rangle$ and $R = R_g$, and

(2) $g = tp$ and $R = T$.

In the case (1), the statement follows from the equality (18), and that for (2) follows from Proposition 7 (1) and Proposition 6 (4). $\square$

By the above lemma, the function $f(x) = \Theta_{\langle J \rangle}^2(\tau(x))/(x\langle J \rangle\omega_{34}(x)^2)$ becomes a function on $\mathbb{D}/U_{22}^{tp} \simeq X/\langle as \rangle$. The space $X/\langle as \rangle$ can be compactified by the embedding $pl^* : X/\langle as \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. It is shown in [Ma] that the zero of $\Theta_{\langle J \rangle}(\tau(x))^2$ coincides with that of $x\langle J \rangle$. Hence $f(x)$ is a constant map. We evaluate this constant by taking the degeneration for $z_2 \rightarrow 0, z_3 \rightarrow 0$ in the affine open set of $\overline{X}$ defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -z_1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -z_3 \\ -z_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -z_4 \end{pmatrix} \mid z_1, \dots, z_4 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Under this limit, we have $\omega_{13}(x), \omega_{24}(x) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\omega_{34} \rightarrow 2\omega_B(z_1)\omega_B(z_4), \quad \omega_{14} \rightarrow 2\omega_A(z_1)\omega_B(z_4), \quad \omega_{23} \rightarrow 2\omega_B(z_1)\omega_A(z_4),$$

where $\omega_A(\lambda), \omega_B(\lambda)$ are defined in (1). We set $\omega_{ij} = \lim_{z_2, z_3 \rightarrow 0} \omega_{ij}(x)$. Then we have

$$\lim_{z_2, z_3 \rightarrow 0} \tau(x) = \text{diag}\left(\frac{\omega_{14}}{\omega_{34}}, \frac{\omega_{23}}{\omega_{34}}\right),$$

and by $x\langle 135 \rangle \rightarrow 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z_2, z_3 \rightarrow 0} f(x) &= \Theta_{[0000]}^2(\text{diag}(\frac{\omega_{14}}{\omega_{34}}, \frac{\omega_{23}}{\omega_{34}}))/(x\langle 135 \rangle\omega_{34}^2) \\ &= \vartheta_{[00]}^4(\frac{\omega_A(z_1)}{\omega_B(z_1)})\vartheta_{[00]}^4(\frac{\omega_A(z_4)}{\omega_B(z_4)})/(4\omega_B^2(z_1)\omega_B^2(z_4)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^4} \end{aligned}$$

by Jacobi's formula (2). □

5 Mean iterations

5.1 Mean iteration associated to D_4 degeneration

In this and next subsections, we apply the main identity (21) to the study of mean iterations. In this subsection, we consider configurations of six lines which contain three lines intersecting at one point. In this degeneration, three A_1 singularities on $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^*$ confluent to one D_4 singularity. This degeneration is obtained by taking the limit $x\langle J \rangle \rightarrow 0$. We consider the case $\langle J \rangle = \langle 123 \rangle$.

Proposition 8 *The two preimages of the map $\overline{pl}$ on the subvariety defined by $x\langle 123 \rangle = 0$ are expressed as*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{x\langle 124 \rangle - x\langle 125 \rangle - x\langle 134 \rangle + x\langle 135 \rangle}{-x\langle 134 \rangle + x\langle 135 \rangle} & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{x\langle 124 \rangle x\langle 135 \rangle - x\langle 125 \rangle x\langle 134 \rangle}{(-x\langle 134 \rangle + x\langle 135 \rangle)x\langle 125 \rangle} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -\frac{x\langle 125 \rangle - x\langle 124 \rangle}{x\langle 125 \rangle} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{x\langle 134 \rangle - x\langle 124 \rangle}{x\langle 134 \rangle} & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{x\langle 135 \rangle x\langle 124 \rangle - x\langle 125 \rangle x\langle 134 \rangle}{(x\langle 135 \rangle - x\langle 125 \rangle)x\langle 134 \rangle} & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -\frac{x\langle 124 \rangle - x\langle 125 \rangle - x\langle 134 \rangle + x\langle 135 \rangle}{x\langle 135 \rangle - x\langle 125 \rangle} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let m be a map from $(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4$ to $(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4$ given by

$$m : (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4 \ni u = (u_1, \dots, u_4) \mapsto (m_1(u), \dots, m_4(u)) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(u) &= \frac{u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4}{4}, & m_2(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{(u_1 + u_3)(u_2 + u_4)}}{2}, \\ m_3(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{(u_1 + u_2)(u_3 + u_4)}}{2}, & m_4(u) &= \sqrt{\frac{u_1 u_4 + u_2 u_3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For an element $c = (c_1, \dots, c_4) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4$ with $c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4$, we define a vector valued sequence $\{m^n(c) = (m_1^n(c), \dots, m_4^n(c))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$m^n(c) = \overbrace{m \circ \dots \circ m}^n(c).$$

Lemma 4 (1) *The components of the sequence $\{(m_1^n(c), \dots, m_4^n(c))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge and have a common limit $m_*^\infty(c)$. The convergence is quadratic.*

(2)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1^n(c)^2 - m_2^n(c)^2}{m_3^n(c)^2 - m_4^n(c)^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1^n(c)^2 - m_3^n(c)^2}{m_2^n(c)^2 - m_4^n(c)^2} = 1.$$

Proof. (1) Since

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(c)^2 - m_2(c)^2 &= \frac{(c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4)^2}{16}, \\ m_2(c)^2 - m_3(c)^2 &= \frac{(c_1 - c_4)(c_2 - c_3)}{4}, \\ m_3(c)^2 - m_4(c)^2 &= \frac{(c_1 - c_2)(c_3 - c_4)}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $m_1(c) > m_2(c) > m_3(c) > m_4(c)$ for $c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4 > 0$. We can easily see that

$$c_4 < m_4(c) < \cdots < m_4^n(c) < m_1^n(c) < \cdots < m_1(c) < c_1,$$

the sequences $\{m_1^n(c)\}$ and $\{m_4^n(c)\}$ converge. We set $\mu_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_1^n(c)$ and $\mu_4 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_4^n(c)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(c)^2 - m_4(c)^2 &= m_1(c)^2 - m_2(c)^2 + m_2(c)^2 - m_4^2(c) \\ &= \frac{(c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4)^2}{16} + \frac{(c_1 - c_3)(c_2 - c_4)}{4} \\ &< \frac{(c_1 - c_4)^2}{4} + \frac{(c_1 - c_4)^2}{4} = \frac{(c_1 - c_4)^2}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

we have $\mu_1^2 - \mu_4^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 - \mu_4)^2$. If $\mu_1 > \mu_4$, then $\mu_1 + \mu_4 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 - \mu_4)$, which implies $\mu_1 + 3\mu_4 \leq 0$. This is a contradiction.

By the inequality (24), the convergence of $m_1(c) - m_4(c) = \frac{m_1(c)^2 - m_4(c)^2}{m_1(c) + m_4(c)}$, is quadratic.

(2) We have

$$\frac{m_1(c)^2 - m_2(c)^2}{m_3(c)^2 - m_4(c)^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{c_1 - c_2}{c_3 - c_4} + 2 + \frac{c_3 - c_4}{c_1 - c_2} \right) \geq 1.$$

We set

$$s_n = \frac{m_1^n(c)^2 - m_2^n(c)^2}{m_3^n(c)^2 - m_4^n(c)^2}, \quad t_n = \frac{m_1^n(c) + m_2^n(c)}{m_3^n(c) + m_4^n(c)}, \quad f(s, t) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{s}{t} + 2 + \frac{t}{s} \right).$$

Then s_n and t_n satisfy

$$s_{n+1} = f(s_n, t_n), \quad s_n, t_n \geq 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 1.$$

Note that $f(hs, ht) = f(s, t)$ for any $h \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$, $f(s, s) = 1$ and that

$$f(s, 1) = f(1, s) = \frac{1}{4} \left(s + 2 + \frac{1}{s} \right) < \frac{1}{4} (s + 2s + s) < s$$

for any $s > 1$. If $s_n > t_n$ then $(s_n/t_n) > 1$ and

$$s_{n+1} = f(s_n, t_n) = f\left(\frac{s_n}{t_n}, 1\right) < \frac{s_n}{t_n} < s_n.$$

If $s_n \leq t_n$ then $(t_n/s_n) \geq 1$ and

$$s_{n+1} = f(s_n, t_n) = f\left(\frac{t_n}{s_n}, 1\right) \leq \frac{t_n}{s_n} \leq t_n.$$

Thus we have $s_{n+1} \leq \max(s_n, t_n)$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 1$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_n < 1 + \varepsilon$ for any $n > N$. If there exists $n_0 > N$ such that $s_{n_0} \leq t_{n_0}$, then $s_n < 1 + \varepsilon$ for any $n \geq n_0$; this means $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 1$. Otherwise, i.e. $s_n > t_n$ for any $n > N$, then s_n is monotonously decreasing. Thus the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ exists. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $s_{n+1} = f(s_n, t_n)$, then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 1. \text{ Similarly we can show } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_1^n(c)^2 - m_3^n(c)^2}{m_2^n(c)^2 - m_4^n(c)^2} = 1. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3 *The common limit $m_*^\infty(c)$ can be expressed as*

$$m_*^\infty(c) = \sqrt{\frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{c_3^2 - c_4^2} \frac{c_3}{F_S(z)}} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1^2 - c_3^2}{c_2^2 - c_4^2} \frac{c_2}{F_S(w)}},$$

where $z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_3 \\ w_2 & w_4 \end{pmatrix}$ are given as

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{c_3^2 - c_4^2}{c_1^2 - c_2^2} & 1 - \frac{c_1^2(c_3^2 - c_4^2)}{c_3^2(c_1^2 - c_2^2)} \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{c_4^2}{c_3^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{c_4^2}{c_3^2} & 0 \\ 1 - \frac{c_1^2(c_3^2 - c_4^2)}{c_2^2(c_1^2 - c_3^2)} & 1 - \frac{c_2^2 - c_4^2}{c_1^2 - c_3^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 4 *For a given $c = (c_1, \dots, c_4)$, the hypergeometric series F_S in Theorem 3 may not converge. By Lemma 4 (2), there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that it converges for $m^n(c)$ instead of c .*

Proof. There exists $\tau \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\Theta_{[1111]}(\tau) = 0$ and

$$\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau) : \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau) : \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau) : \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau) = c_1 : c_2 : c_3 : c_4.$$

By Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Theta_{[0000]}(2\tau), \Theta_{[0001]}(2\tau), \Theta_{[0010]}(2\tau), \Theta_{[0011]}(2\tau)) \\ &= m(\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)), \end{aligned}$$

since $\Theta_{[1111]}(\tau) = 0$. By the homogeneity of $m_1, \dots, m_4$, m_*^∞ satisfies

$$m_*^\infty(c) = c_1 m_*^\infty\left(1, \frac{c_2}{c_1}, \frac{c_3}{c_1}, \frac{c_4}{c_1}\right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
m_*^\infty(c) &= c_1 m_*^\infty\left(1, \frac{\Theta_{[0001]}(\tau)}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)}, \frac{\Theta_{[0010]}(\tau)}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)}, \frac{\Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)}\right) \\
&= \frac{c_1}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)} m_*^\infty(\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau)) \\
&= \frac{c_1}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)} m_*^\infty(m(\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0001]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0010]}(\tau), \Theta_{[0011]}(\tau))) \\
&= \frac{c_1}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)} m_*^\infty(\Theta_{[0000]}(2\tau), \Theta_{[0001]}(2\tau), \Theta_{[0010]}(2\tau), \Theta_{[0011]}(2\tau)) \\
&= \frac{c_1}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)} m_*^\infty(\Theta_{[0000]}(2^n \tau), \Theta_{[0001]}(2^n \tau), \Theta_{[0010]}(2^n \tau), \Theta_{[0011]}(2^n \tau)) \\
&\rightarrow \frac{c_1}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\Theta_{[ab]}(2^n \tau)$ converge to 1 for $a = (0, 0)$ and any $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^2$. By Proposition 8, the preimages of

$$[x\langle 123 \rangle, x\langle 124 \rangle, x\langle 125 \rangle, x\langle 134 \rangle, x\langle 135 \rangle] = [0, c_4^2, c_3^2, c_2^2, c_1^2]$$

for the map $\overline{pl} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ are given by

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -z_1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -z_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$z_1 = 1 - \frac{c_3^2 - c_4^2}{c_1^2 - c_2^2}, \quad z_2 = 0, \quad z_3 = 1 - \frac{c_1^2(c_3^2 - c_4^2)}{c_3^2(c_1^2 - c_2^2)}, \quad z_4 = 1 - \frac{c_4^2}{c_3^2},$$

with

$$\sqrt{x\langle 135 \rangle} = \frac{c_1}{c_3} \sqrt{\frac{c_3^3 - c_4^2}{c_1^2 - c_2^2}},$$

and

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -z_1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -z_2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -z_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$z_1 = 1 - \frac{c_4^2}{c_2^2}, \quad z_2 = 1 - \frac{c_1^2(c_2^2 - c_4^2)}{c_2^2(c_1^2 - c_3^2)}, \quad z_3 = 0, \quad z_4 = 1 - \frac{c_2^2 - c_4^2}{c_1^2 - c_3^2},$$

with

$$\sqrt{x\langle 135 \rangle} = \frac{c_1}{c_2} \sqrt{\frac{c_2^2 - c_4^2}{c_1^2 - c_3^2}}.$$

Theorem 2 implies this theorem. $\square$

5.2 Mean iteration associated to Kummer locus

The Borchardt's mean iteration is obtained from the restriction of Thomae type formula for K3 surfaces to the Kummer locus. In this subsection, we explain how to recover limit formulas in [B], [MT] and [Me] from our main theorem.

Proposition 9 *Let $c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4$ be real numbers such that $c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4 > 0$. We set*

$$Q_1 = (c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4)(c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - c_4)(c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4)(c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4),$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{c_4(c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2 - c_4^2 - \sqrt{Q_1})}{2c_2(c_1c_3 - c_2c_4)} & 1 - \frac{c_1(c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2 - c_4^2 - \sqrt{Q_1})}{2c_3(c_1c_3 - c_2c_4)} \\ 1 - \frac{c_1(c_1^2 + c_2^2 - c_3^2 - c_4^2 - \sqrt{Q_1})}{2c_2(c_1c_2 - c_3c_4)} & 1 - \frac{c_4(c_1^2 + c_2^2 - c_3^2 - c_4^2 - \sqrt{Q_1})}{2c_3(c_1c_2 - c_3c_4)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

Then

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -z_1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -z_3 \\ -z_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -z_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

lies on the Kummer locus. In this case, we have

$$[x\langle 123 \rangle : x\langle 135 \rangle : x\langle 134 \rangle : x\langle 125 \rangle : x\langle 124 \rangle] = [c_0^2 : c_1^2 : c_2^2 : c_3^2 : c_4^2],$$

where

$$c_0^2 = \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2 - c_3^2 + c_4^2 + \sqrt{Q_1}}{2}.$$

Let m be a map from $(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4$ to $(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4$ given by

$$m : (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4 \ni u = (u_1, \dots, u_4) \mapsto (m_1(u), \dots, m_4(u)) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(u) &= \frac{u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4}{4}, & m_2(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{u_1 u_2} + \sqrt{u_3 u_4}}{2}, \\ m_3(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{u_1 u_3} + \sqrt{u_2 u_4}}{2}, & m_4(u) &= \frac{\sqrt{u_1 u_4} + \sqrt{u_2 u_3}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $u_1 > u_2 > u_3 > u_4$ then

$$m_1(u) > m_2(u) > m_3(u) > m_4(u).$$

For an element $c = (c_1, \dots, c_4) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^4$ with $c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > c_4$, we define a vector valued sequence $\{m^n(c) = (m_1^n(c), \dots, m_4^n(c))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$m^n(c) = \overbrace{m \circ \dots \circ m}^n(c).$$

In [B] and [Me], they prove that the common limit $m_*^\infty(c)$ is expressed in terms of period integrals of a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2. In [MT], they give its expression in terms of the period integral $\omega_{34}(x)$ of the K3 surface $\mathcal{X}(x)$. Here, we give its expression by the hypergeometric series F_S .

Theorem 4 *We can express the common limit $m_*^\infty(c)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} m_*^\infty(c) &= \frac{4\sqrt{c_2 c_3 (c_1 c_2 - c_3 c_4) (c_1 c_3 - c_2 c_4)}}{(\sqrt{d_1 d_2} - \sqrt{d_3 d_4})(\sqrt{d_1 d_3} - \sqrt{d_2 d_4})} \frac{1}{F_S(z)} \\ &= \frac{4\sqrt{c_2 c_3 (c_1 c_2 - c_3 c_4) (c_1 c_3 - c_2 c_4)}}{(\sqrt{d_1 d_2} + \sqrt{d_3 d_4})(\sqrt{d_1 d_3} + \sqrt{d_2 d_4})} \frac{1}{F_S(w)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{c_4}{c_2} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} - \sqrt{d_2 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} + \sqrt{d_2 d_4}} & 1 - \frac{c_1}{c_3} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} - \sqrt{d_2 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} + \sqrt{d_2 d_4}} \\ 1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} - \sqrt{d_3 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} + \sqrt{d_3 d_4}} & 1 - \frac{c_4}{c_3} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} - \sqrt{d_3 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} + \sqrt{d_3 d_4}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ w &= \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{c_4}{c_2} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} + \sqrt{d_2 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} - \sqrt{d_2 d_4}} & 1 - \frac{c_1}{c_3} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} + \sqrt{d_2 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_3} - \sqrt{d_2 d_4}} \\ 1 - \frac{c_1}{c_2} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} + \sqrt{d_3 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} - \sqrt{d_3 d_4}} & 1 - \frac{c_4}{c_3} \frac{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} + \sqrt{d_3 d_4}}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2} - \sqrt{d_3 d_4}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ d_1 &= c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4, & d_2 &= c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - c_4, \\ d_3 &= c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4, & d_4 &= c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $c_1, \dots, c_4$ be elements in $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ with $c_1 > \dots > c_4$. Though the value $c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4$ may be negative, by the inequality

$$m_1(c) - m_2(c) - m_3(c) + m_4(c) = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{c_1} - \sqrt{c_2} - \sqrt{c_3} + \sqrt{c_4})^2 > 0,$$

we may assume $c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + c_4 \geq 0$ by applying the map m . Let $\begin{pmatrix} z_1, z_2 \\ z_3, z_4 \end{pmatrix}$ be 2×2 matrix defined as (25). Then x defined in (26) lies on the Kummer locus. In this case, the theta constants $\Theta_{[a,b]}(\tau)$ coincide with the square of Riemann's theta constants. Using 2τ -formulas for Riemann's theta constants, and similar argument as in Theorem 3, we have

$$m_*^\infty(c) = \frac{c_1}{\Theta_{[0000]}(\tau)}.$$

By Theorem 2 and Proposition 4, we have the first expression of $m_*^\infty(c)$ by F_S . By putting

$$c_0^2 = \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2 - c_3^2 + c_4^2 - \sqrt{Q_1}}{2},$$

we have the other expression of $m_*^\infty(c)$. □

6 Functional equations for F_S

The common limit $m_*^\infty(c)$ in Theorem 3 (resp. 4) satisfies

$$m_*^\infty(c) = m_*^\infty(m(c)).$$

This property implies functional equations for the hypergeometric function F_S .

Theorem 5 *We have the following functional equations for F_S :*

$$\begin{aligned} F_S(m(z)) &= \frac{(c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4)(c_3 + c_4)}{4(c_1 - c_2)c_3} F_S(z), \\ F_S(m(w)) &= \frac{(c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - c_4)(c_2 + c_4)}{4(c_1 - c_3)c_2} F_S(w), \end{aligned}$$

where z and w are given in Theorem 3 and

$$\begin{aligned} m(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(c_1-c_2-c_3+c_4)^2}{(c_1-c_2+c_3-c_4)^2} & \frac{2(c_1c_4-c_2c_3)(c_1^2-c_2^2-c_3^2+c_4^2)}{(c_1+c_2)(c_3+c_4)(c_1-c_2+c_3-c_4)^2} \\ 0 & \frac{(c_1-c_2)(c_3-c_4)}{(c_1+c_2)(c_3+c_4)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ m(w) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(c_1-c_3)(c_2-c_4)}{(c_1+c_3)(c_2+c_4)} & 0 \\ \frac{2(c_1c_4-c_2c_3)(c_1^2-c_2^2-c_3^2+c_4^2)}{(c_1+c_3)(c_2+c_4)(c_1+c_2-c_3-c_4)^2} & \frac{(c_1-c_2-c_3+c_4)^2}{(c_1+c_2-c_3-c_4)^2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6 We have the following functional equations for F_S :

$$\begin{aligned} F_S(m(z)) &= \frac{1}{16} \frac{\sqrt{d_2d_3}(\sqrt{d_1d_2} - \sqrt{d_3d_4})(\sqrt{d_1d_3} - \sqrt{d_2d_4})}{\sqrt{c_2c_3}(\sqrt{c_1c_2} - \sqrt{c_3c_4})(\sqrt{c_1c_3} - \sqrt{c_2c_4})} F_S(z), \\ F_S(m(w)) &= \frac{(\sqrt{d_1d_2} + \sqrt{d_3d_4})(\sqrt{d_1d_3} + \sqrt{d_2d_4})}{4\sqrt{c_2c_3d_2d_3}} F_S(w), \end{aligned}$$

where z and w are given in Theorem 4 and

$$\begin{aligned} m(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{2(\sqrt{c_1c_4} + \sqrt{c_2c_3})(\sqrt{c_1c_3} - \sqrt{c_2c_4})}{(\sqrt{c_1c_2} + \sqrt{c_3c_4})d_3} & 1 - \frac{(\sqrt{c_1c_3} - \sqrt{c_2c_4})d_1}{(\sqrt{c_1c_3} + \sqrt{c_2c_4})d_3} \\ 1 - \frac{(\sqrt{c_1c_2} - \sqrt{c_3c_4})d_1}{(\sqrt{c_1c_2} + \sqrt{c_3c_4})d_2} & 1 - \frac{2(\sqrt{c_1c_4} + \sqrt{c_2c_3})(\sqrt{c_1c_2} - \sqrt{c_3c_4})}{(\sqrt{c_1c_3} + \sqrt{c_2c_4})d_2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ m(w) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{(\sqrt{c_1c_4} + \sqrt{c_2c_3})d_3}{2(\sqrt{c_1c_2} + \sqrt{c_3c_4})(\sqrt{c_1c_3} - \sqrt{c_2c_4})} & 1 - \frac{d_1d_3}{4(c_1c_3 - c_2c_4)} \\ 1 - \frac{d_1d_2}{4(c_1c_2 - c_3c_4)} & 1 - \frac{(\sqrt{c_1c_4} + \sqrt{c_2c_3})d_2}{2(\sqrt{c_1c_3} + \sqrt{c_2c_4})(\sqrt{c_1c_2} - \sqrt{c_3c_4})} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To obtain the expression of $m(z)$ and $m(w)$, we use the equalities:

$$m_1(c) + \epsilon_1 m_2(c) + \epsilon_2 m_3(c) + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 m_4(c) = \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{c_1} + \epsilon_1 \sqrt{c_2} + \epsilon_2 \sqrt{c_3} + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \sqrt{c_4})^2$$

for $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1$ and

$$m_i(c)m_j(c) - m_k(c)m_l(c) = \frac{1}{8} (\sqrt{c_i c_j} - \sqrt{c_k c_l})(c_i + c_j - c_k - c_l)$$

for $(i, j, k, l) = (1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 3, 2, 4), (1, 4, 2, 3)$. $\square$

References

- [B] C.W. Borchardt, Über das arithmetisch-geometrische Mittel aus vier Elementen, *Berl. Monatsber.*, 53 (1876), 611-621.

- [F] E. Freitag, Modulformen zweiten Grades zum rationalen und Gaußschen Zahlkörper, *Sitzungsber. Heidelb. Akad. Wiss.*, **1** (1967), 1–49.
- [I] J. Igusa, *Theta functions*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen 194, Springer-Berlin-Heidelberg, New York, 1972.
- [IKSY] K. Iwasaki, H. Kimura, S. Shimomura and M. Yoshida, *From Gauss to Painlevé*, Vieweg, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1991.
- [KY] M. Kita and M. Yoshida, Intersection theory for twisted cycles I, *Math. Nachr.* **166** (1994), 287–304.
- [KaM] T. Kato and K. Matsumoto, The common limit of a quadruple sequence and the hypergeometric function F_D of three variables, *Nagoya Math. J.* **195** (2009), 113–124.
- [KiM] M. Kita and K. Matsumoto, Duality for hypergeometric functions and invariant Gauss-Manin systems, *Compositio Math.* **108** (1997), 77–106.
- [MSY] K. Matsumoto, T. Sasaki and M. Yoshida, The monodromy of the period map of a 4-parameter family of K3 surfaces and the Aomoto-Gel’fand hypergeometric function of type (3,6), *Internat. J. of Math.*, **3** (1992), 1–164.
- [MT] K. Matsumoto and T. Terasoma, Arithmetic-geometric means for hyperelliptic curves and Calabi-Yau varieties, to appear in *Internat. J. of Math.*
- [MY] K. Matsumoto and M. Yoshida, Invariants for some real hyperbolic groups, *Internat. J. of Math.*, **13** (2002), 415–443.
- [Ma] K. Matsumoto, Theta functions on the bounded symmetric domain of type $I_{2,2}$ and the period map of 4-parameter family of K3 surfaces, *Math. Ann.*, **295** (1993), 383–408.
- [Me] J. Mestre, Moyenne de Borchardt et integrales elliptiques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* 313 (1991), no. 5, 273–276.
- [Mu] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on Theta I*, progress in Math 28. Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1983.

- [Te] T. Terasoma, Exponential Kummer coverings and determinants of hypergeometric functions. *Tokyo J. Math.* 16 (1993), no. 2, 497–508.
- [To] J. Thomae, Beitrag zur Bestimmung von $\theta(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ durch die Klassenmoduln algebraischer Funktionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **71** (1870), 201–222.
- [Y] M. Yoshida, *Hypergeometric Functions, My Love*, Aspects of Mathematics, E32, Friedr Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

Keiji MATSUMOTO
Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
e-mail:matsu@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Tomohide TERASOMA
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Tokyo
Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan
e-mail:terasoma@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp



